

1 Amy F. Sorenson, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 12495
 2 Blakeley E. Griffith, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 12386
 3 Kiah D. Beverly-Graham, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 11916
 4 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
 5 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy, #1100
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 6 Telephone: 702-784-5200
 Facsimile: 702-784-5252
 7 Email: asorenson@swlaw.com
bgriffith@swlaw.com
 8 kbeverly@swlaw.com

9 *Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America, N.A.*

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12
 13
 14 RICHARD ZEITLIN, ADVANCED
 TELEPHONY CONSULTANTS, MRZ
 MANAGEMENT, LLC, DONOR
 15 RELATIONS, LLC, TPFE, INC., AMERICAN
 TECHNOLOGY SERVICES, COMPLIANCE
 CONSULTANTS, CHROME BUILDERS
 CONSTRUCTION, INC., and UNIFIED
 16 DATA SERVICES,
 17

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.
 20 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., and JOHN and
 JANE DOES 1-100,

21 Defendants.

22 Case No.: 2:18-cv-01919-RFB-DJA

23
**STIPULATION AND ORDER
 EXTENDING DEADLINE TO
 RESPOND TO MOTION TO SEAL
 AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR
 MOTION TO COMPEL**

(FIRST REQUEST)

24 Pursuant to Local Rule IA 6-1, Plaintiffs Richard Zeitlin, Advanced Telephony
 25 Consultants, MRZ Management, LLC, Donor Relations, LLC, TPFE, Inc., American Technology
 Services, Compliance Consultants, Chrome Builders Construction, and Unified Data Services
 26 (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Bank of America, N.A. (“BANA” and together with Plaintiffs the
 27 “Parties” and each a “Party”), through their counsel of record hereby stipulate to (i) a 14-day
 28

1 extension of BANA's deadline to file a Declaration in response to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to
 2 File Motion Under Seal, dated May 19, 2020 (ECF No. 48) ("Motion to Seal"); (ii) a 7-day
 3 extension of BANA's deadline to file a response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel, which Plaintiffs
 4 expect to file imminently; and (iii) a 3-day extension of Plaintiffs' deadline to file a reply in
 5 support of the Motion to Compel.

6 The Parties respectfully request the Court enter this Stipulation as an Order. In support of
 7 this request, the Parties represent as follows.

8 On May 19, 2020, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Seal, seeking to file the underlying
 9 Motion to Compel under seal. Plaintiffs intended file the Motion to Compel under seal via ECF
 10 the same day, as required by LR IA 10-5(a). However, the ECF system did not allow Plaintiffs to
 11 file the Motion to Compel under seal, and they did not receive a response to counsel's telephone
 12 inquiries to the ECF Helpdesk. Further, due to administrative error, Plaintiffs did not serve a copy
 13 of the Motion to Compel on BANA until May 26, 2020.

14 Consequently, BANA was served with the Motion to Seal via ECF but did not receive a
 15 copy of the underlying Motion to Compel and was not aware that Plaintiff had attempted to file
 16 the latter motion until May 26.

17 Among other things, the Motion to Compel will challenge the propriety of BANA's
 18 designation of certain documents produced in discovery as "Confidential" pursuant to a Stipulated
 19 Protective Order submitted on October 23, 2019 (ECF No. 42) and the Court's Order entering the
 20 Stipulation, as modified, on October 29, 2019 (ECF No. 43) (together the "Protective Order").
 21 The papers requested to be sealed are, or refer to, documents which BANA produced as
 22 "Confidential."

23 Because the Motion to Seal is based on the fact that BANA designated the documents
 24 Plaintiffs intend to file as "Confidential", the Protective Order requires BANA to file, within
 25 seven days of the filing of the Motion to Seal, a declaration "establishing sufficient justification
 26 for sealing each document at issue . . ." Protective Order at 3:9-15. The existing deadline for
 27 BANA's Declaration is May 26, 2020.

28

Because BANA was not originally served with the Motion to Compel and its supporting documents; did not receive an ECF notification that a Motion to Compel had been filed under seal; and was not otherwise notified of the specific documents which Plaintiffs seek to file under seal, BANA is unable to file the required Declaration within seven days of filing as required by the Protective Order. BANA does not have sufficient time to prepare a Declaration establishing the justification for sealing each document at issue because it was not aware of the identity of those documents until May 26, 2020, the very day the Declaration is due. The Parties agree this state of affairs is due to inadvertent error and that good cause exists to extend the deadline as requested herein.

In addition, the Parties agree that the issues raised by the two motions are complex, and that BANA's ability to respond to these issues within seven days is substantially impaired by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, which has significantly slowed the business operations and internal processes necessary to assist in preparing the required Declaration. BANA's ability to timely draft papers in opposition to the Motion to Compel is similarly affected. Accordingly, the Parties further agree there is good cause to enlarge the seven-day period to file the Declaration to fourteen days and to establish an enlarged briefing schedule with respect to the Motion to Compel as set forth below.

The Parties are aware that the Motion to Compel, with respect to which they seek entry of an enlarged briefing schedule, has not yet been filed. The parties submit this request now in an effort to avoid burdening the Court with successive stipulations to extend time and because they anticipate the Motion to Compel will be filed imminently, once Plaintiffs have resolved the aforementioned technical issues with the ECF system.

Accordingly, the Parties agree there is good cause for entry of the following new deadlines:

1. BANA's deadline to file the Declaration required by the Protective Order is enlarged and extended from May 26, 2020 to June 9, 2020;

2. BANA's time to file papers in response to the Motion to Compel is enlarged from 14 days to 21 days from the date on which Plaintiffs file the Motion to Compel under seal via

1 ECF pursuant to LR IA 10-5(a) and serve the motion papers upon BANA in accordance with the
 2 email service provision contained in the Parties' Joint Proposed Scheduling Order, submitted on
 3 May 17, 2019 (ECF No. 34); and

4 3. Plaintiffs' time to file reply papers in further support of the Motion to Compel is
 5 enlarged from 7 days to 10 days after service of BANA's response.

6 This is the parties' first request for the relief sought herein, and the parties agree that the
 7 requested extensions will not prejudice any party. No deadline for which an extension is requested
 8 herein has expired.

9 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

10 Dated: May 26, 2020

DATED: May 26, 2020

11 THE BERNHOFT LAW FIRM, S.C.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

12 /s/ Daniel J. Treuden (with permission)
 13 Robert G. Bernhoft, Esq.
 Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
 Wisconsin Bar No. 1032777
 Thomas E. Kimble, Esq.
 Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*
 Illinois Bar No. 6257935
 Daniel James Treuden, Esq.
 Wisconsin Bar No. 1052766
 1402 E. Cesar Chavez Street
 Austin, Texas 78702

15 Joel F. Hansen, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 1876
 Hansen & Hansen, LLC
 9030 W. Cheyenne Avenue, #210
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

16 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

17 /s/ Kiah D. Beverly-Graham
 18 Amy F. Sorenson, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 12495
 Blakeley E. Griffith, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 12386
 Kiah D. Beverly-Graham, Esq.
 Nevada Bar No. 11916
 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
 Las Vegas, NV 89169

19 *Attorneys for Defendant Bank of America,
 N.A.*

20 **ORDER**

21 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that defendant Bank of America N.A.'s deadline to file a
 22 Declaration in response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal is extended from May 26, 2020 to June 9,
 23 2020;

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that defendant Bank of America N.A.'s deadline to file
2 papers in response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel is enlarged from 14 days to 21 days from the
3 date on which Plaintiffs file the Motion to Compel under seal via ECF pursuant to LR IA 10-5(a)
4 and serve the motion papers upon BANA in accordance with the email service provision
5 contained in the Parties' Joint Proposed Scheduling Order, submitted on May 17, 2019 (ECF No.
6 34); and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' time to file reply papers in further support of the Motion to Compel is enlarged from 7 days to 10 days after service of BANA's response.


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: May 28, 2020

DATED: May 28, 2020

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. LAW OFFICES
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite
Las Vegas, NV 89169
702-738-5200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, I electronically filed the foregoing **STIPULATION AND ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO SEAL AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTION TO COMPEL** with the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada by using the Court's CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system.

DATED: May 26, 2020

/s/ Lara J. Taylor
An Employee of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. — LAW OFFICES
3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
702/784-5200